

# The

VOL. XLIII. No. 7327.

號七月二年七十八百八千一英

## AGENTS FOR THE CHINA MAIL.

LONDON.—P. AGAR, 11 & 12, Clement's Lane, Lombard Street, E. C. 3. GEORGE STREET & Co., 30, Cornhill, GORDON & GORDON, Ludgate Circus, E. C. 4. BATES HENDY & Co., 31, Watlington, E. C. 4. SARUM DEACON & Co., 150 & 154, Ludgate Circus, W. M. WILKS, 151, Cannon Street, E. C. 4.

PARIS AND EUROPE.—AMERIK PRINCE & Co., 35, Rue Lafayette, Paris.

NEW YORK.—ANDREW WIND, 21, Park Row.

SAN FRANCISCO and American Ports generally.—BRAN & BLACK, San Francisco.

AUSTRALIA, TASMANIA, AND NEW ZEALAND.—GORDON & GORDON, Melbourne and Sydney.

CEYLON.—W. M. SMITH & Co., THE APOTHECARIES Co., Colombo.

SINGAPORE, STRAITS, &c.—SAYLE & Co., Square, Singapore. O. HENSEN & Co., Manila.

CHINA.—M. A. DE CRUZ, Singapore, Quoyan & Co., Amoy, N. MOORE, Paschoa, HENDERSON & Co., Shanghai, LANE, CRAWFORD & Co., and KELLY & WALSH, Yokohama, LANE, CRAWFORD & Co., and KELLY & WALSH.

## NOTICE.

## RULES OF THE HONGKONG SAVINGS BANK.

- 1.—The business of the above Bank will be conducted by the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation, on their premises in Hongkong. Business hours on week-days, 10 to 3; Saturdays, 10 to 1.
- 2.—Sums less than \$1, or more than \$250 at one time will not be received. No depositor may deposit more than \$2,500 in any one year.
- 3.—Depositors in the Savings Bank having \$100 or more at their credit may at their option transfer the same to the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation on fixed deposit for 12 months at 5 per cent. per annum interest.
- 4.—Interest at the rate of 3 per cent. per annum will be allowed to depositors on their daily balances.
- 5.—Each Depositor will be supplied gratis with a Pass-Book which must be presented with each payment or withdrawal. Depositors must not make any entries themselves in their Pass-Books but should send them to be written up at least twice a year, about the beginning of January and beginning of July.
- 6.—Correspondence as to the business of the Bank if marked On Hongkong Savings Bank Business is forwarded free by the various British Post Offices in Hongkong and China.
- 7.—Withdrawals may be made on demand, but the personal attendance of the depositor or his duly appointed agent, and the production of his Pass-Book are necessary.

For the  
HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.  
JOHN WALTER,  
Acting Chief Manager.

Hongkong, June 7, 1887. 754

**HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.**

PAID-UP CAPITAL, \$7,500,000  
RESERVE FUND, \$4,500,000  
BANKERS' FUND, \$2,000,000  
DIVIDENDS, \$200,000  
RESERVE LIABILITY OF PROPRIETORS, \$7,500,000

**COURT OF DIRECTORS.**  
Chairman.—A. MUIR, Esq.  
Deputy Chairman.—M. GROVE, Esq.  
Hon. J. BELLINGHAM, Esq.  
Hon. C. D. BOWEN, Esq.  
Hon. E. H. M. HUNTER, Esq.  
Hon. W. H. D. DAVIS, Esq.  
Hon. A. P. McEWEEN, Esq.  
Hon. L. DALRYMPLE, Esq.  
Hon. F. D. SASSOON, Esq.

**CHIEF MANAGER.**  
HONGKONG.—THOMAS JACKSON, Esq.  
Acting Chief Manager.—JOHN WALTER, Esq.  
SHANGHAI.—EVERETT CAMERON, Esq.  
LONDON BANKERS.—LONDON AND COUNTY BANK.

## HONGKONG.

ON Current Deposit Account at the rate of 2 per cent. per annum on the daily balance.

On Fixed Deposits:—  
For 3 months, 3 per cent. per annum.  
" 6 " 4 " " "  
" 12 " 5 " " "

**LOCAL BILLS DISCOUNTED.**  
Credits granted on approved Securities, and every description of Banking and Exchange business transacted.

Heavily granted on London, and the chief Commercial places in Europe, India, Australia, America, China and Japan.

**JOHN WALTER,**  
Acting Chief Manager.

Hongkong, August 23, 1886. 947

## Notices of Firms.

### NOTICE.

**THE INTEREST AND RESPONSIBILITY OF MR. LOUIS PIRON IN OUR FIRM** ceased on the 1st January, 1887.

HAHN, PIRON & Co.

Mr. GEORGE SAHSE has been admitted a PARTNER in our Firm from the 1st of January, 1887.

HAHN, PIRON & Co.

Hongkong, January 14, 1887. 81

### NOTICE.

**THE Undersigned will Enter into PARTNERSHIP on the 1st January 1887, as SHARE and GENERAL MANAGERS, under the Style or Firm of 'HUGHES & EZRA.'**

E. JONES HUGHES.  
N. J. EZRA.

Hongkong, December 31, 1886. 2409

### NOTICE.

**I HAVE THIS DAY commenced Business as STOCKBROKER.**

APCAR G. APCAR.

Hongkong, February 1, 1887. 130

## Notices of Firms.

### NOTICE.

**MR. FREDERICK DODWELL** has This Day RESUMED CHARGE of our BUSINESS at this Port.

ADAMSON, BELL & Co.

Hongkong, February 4, 1887. 109

### NOTICE.

**WE Have authorized Mr. ED. MILLER** to SIGN Bills of LADING in our Name from this date.

STIEMSEN & Co.

Hongkong, November 23, 1886. 2274

## Intimations.

**THE HONGKONG FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.**

### NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS.

**NOTICE** is hereby given that the Eighteenth Ordinary Annual Meeting of SHAREHOLDERS in the above-named Company will be held at the Company's Office situated in Paddar's Street, Victoria, in the Colony of Hongkong, on TUESDAY, the 22nd day of February, 1887, at 12.30 of the Clock in the Afternoon, to receive a Statement of Accounts to the 31st December, 1886, the Report of the General Managers, and to elect a Consulting Committee and Auditors.

AND NOTICE is hereby also given that at the same place and in the same day at 12.45 of the Clock in the Afternoon or so soon afterwards as the Ordinary Annual Meeting shall be concluded, an EXTRAORDINARY GENERAL MEETING of the Company will be held for the purpose of passing the submitted Resolution.

Should the resolution be passed by the required majority it will be submitted for confirmation as a SPECIAL RESOLUTION to a Second EXTRAORDINARY MEETING which will be subsequently convened.

### RESOLUTION.

That the Articles of Association be altered in manner following:—

- (a.) The following Article shall be substituted for Article No. 111, namely:—111.—The Reserve Fund shall consist of a sum of not less than \$1,000,000 nor more than \$1,500,000.
- (b.) The following Article shall be substituted for Article No. 112, namely:—112.—The Reserve Fund shall consist of a sum of \$1,500,000, the General Managers and the Consulting Committee may appropriate from time to time so much of the profits as they may deem desirable to the Reserve Fund until the said Fund shall amount to the sum of \$1,500,000, provided always that such annual appropriation shall not exceed 10 per Cent. of the said profits.
- (c.) The following Article shall be substituted for Article No. 115, namely:—115.—The said Reserve Fund shall be practicable be maintained at a sum of not less than \$1,000,000 nor more than \$1,500,000, and the whole of the future accruing profits of the Company shall, subject to such annual Bonus as aforesaid and less such portion or portions (if any) thereof as may from time to time be applied in increasing the said Reserve Fund under Article 112, be divisible amongst the Shareholders generally according to the number of Shares held by each.

The Transfer BOOKS of the Company will be CLOSED from the 9th to the 22nd day of February, both days inclusive.

JARDINE, MATHESON & Co., Ltd.  
General Managers,  
Hongkong, January 31, 1887. 171

## GRIFFITH'S PHOTOGRAPHIC STUDIO.

1, DUDDELL STREET, HONGKONG.

**OWING TO EXPIRATION OF LEASE, these PREMISES will be CLOSED in MARCH NEXT.**

Mr. GRIFFITH requests of those who have favoured him with sittings and desiring more Copies that they will forward him their Orders at earliest date, and he would be glad to Lease suitable Premises in a good Central position.

Hongkong, January 29, 1887. 160

**HONGKONG AND WHAMPOA DOCK COMPANY, LIMITED.**

### NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS.

**THE Ordinary Yearly MEETING of SHAREHOLDERS** will be held in the Offices of the Company, No. 14, Praya, Central, on SATURDAY, the 20th February, at 11 a.m., for the purpose of receiving the Report of the Directors, and Statement of Accounts to December 31st, 1886.

The Transfer BOOKS of the Company will be CLOSED from the 13th to the 20th February, both days inclusive.

By Order of the Board of Directors,  
DAVID GILLIES,  
Secretary.

Hongkong, January 19, 1887. 108

## HONGKONG, CANTON AND MACAO STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

### NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS.

**THE DIVIDEND** at the rate of 6 per Cent. or \$1.20 per Share, declared at the Ordinary Half-Yearly Meeting of Shareholders held THIS DAY, will be Payable at the HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION on or after THURSDAY, the 3rd Instant.

SHAREHOLDERS are requested to apply at the OFFICE of the Company for WARRANTS.

By Order of the Board of Directors,  
T. ARNOLD,  
Secretary.

Hongkong, February 2, 1887. 133

## LANE

Large

SOLID LEATHER PATENT LAMP WATER-PROOF, AMERICAN

PATENT STEEL LAMP WATER-PROOF, AMERICAN

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American

Patent Steel Lamp Water-Proof, American







The Baltimore Sun of the 25th December states that Col. Mosby has brought a suit against the United States Government to recover the fees claimed to have been earned while on duty in Hongkong. It says:—As announced in the Associated Press dispatches this morning, the first comptroller of the treasury has decided against the claim of Col. Mosby for \$5,000 fees collected by him as United States consul at Hongkong. Col. Mosby has other claims against the government of a similar character, which, with the claim just disallowed by the first comptroller, aggregate about \$15,000. His suit was brought in the District Court in New York, and gave notice that he would bring suit for the entire amount in the Court of Claims. It is said that the same principle is involved in his claim as in the one brought by the late General Sherman, who was consul-general at London, and which the United States Circuit Court in New York has recently decided in Sherman's favor. Mosby earned into the treasury his fees of the consul at London, and he is now seeking to recover them when his accounts were settled, but Sherman and other consuls put them in their own pockets, and thus threw the onus of proof upon the government. It is said that Sherman at least \$100,000 during his term of office by retaining the class of fees which Mosby headed in.

**THE SALINGER OPERA COMPANY.**  
A large audience again assembled in the Theatre on Saturday evening to see and hear the Salinger Opera Co., who then made their second appearance. The 'Pirates of Ponzano' was the opera selected for representation, and the performance, as a whole, fully equalled that of Thursday evening when 'Elnora' was produced. Miss Lily Sarony, the leading lady of the company, found in Mabel, General Stanley's eldest daughter, a part for which she is exceedingly well suited. The music of the part was rendered sweetly and correctly, and the meaning of the song was very fairly interpreted. Altogether, Miss Sarony was a general favorite throughout the evening. Miss Lena Salinger's vivacity in the part of Edith was highly infectious and irresistibly pleasing. The part was a comparatively small one, but the manner in which it was presented added greatly to the success of the performance. Mrs. Salinger essayed the part of Ruth and gave a fairly satisfactory rendering. Her voice, however, is scarcely equal to the requirements of the part. Miss Elmer, who gave valuable assistance in the choruses, Major-General Stanley was ably personated by Mr. A. Rigby, who made such a favourable impression as the 'First Lord' in 'Ponzano'. Mr. Rigby's rendering of the songs and his interpretation of the part generally showed him to be both an accomplished singer and actor, and he fully deserved the applause which from time to time greeted him. Mr. Frank D'Este made an excellent 'Pirate King'. At times, he displayed a rather lackluster performance, but his singing of the song 'The Pirate King' was the nearest to perfection we have heard in Hongkong. Mr. George Wilson performed the small part of Samuel, satisfactorily. The rendering of the song 'The Pirate King' was by Mr. Arthur Barrett, and it is only doing justice to Mr. Barrett to say that he sang throughout in very good form, except in the duet with Mabel, when he slightly faltered. He also acquitted himself very creditably in the part of the 'Pirate King'. Mr. Herbert Salinger and Master Miquis Salinger as the 'Sergeant and Pooleman' did a good deal to extract a good deal of fun out of their respective parts, but their actions partook rather too much of the nature of a farce, and the choruses though not strong were sung in good time and tune, one being vigorously redoubled. To-morrow night, the company will appear in Ponzano.

#### SUPREME COURT.

IN SUMMARY JUDICEMENT.  
(Before the Hon. Mr. Justice Russell).  
Monday, Feb. 7.

M. ALFONSO v. T. Z. Candler.—\$172.

In this case the plaintiff, Mr. Alfonso, photographer, Hongkong, sued Mr. T. Z. Candler, consular agent at Tanchow, for \$172 for photographs supplied and incidental expenses.

Mr. Wilkinson, of Messrs Caldwell and Wilkinson, appeared for the plaintiff; and Mr. Wilson, of Messrs Wotton and Deacon, appeared for the defendant.

Mr. Wilkinson said that in November last the plaintiff agreed with the defendant to go to Tanchow and take a dozen photographs for \$60 on a certain day, the plaintiff being informed by the defendant that he could go up on one of the boats to Canton. He went up on Thursday night by steamer to Canton, but the defendant, who was to go with him, failed to catch the steamer and did not arrive in Canton till next afternoon. The consequence was that the plaintiff was kept in Canton four days instead of one. He did not leave for the mine till Saturday and did not get back to Hongkong till Monday. He claimed for loss of time at the rate of \$30 a day. Defendants, in addition to the four photographs, ordered the plaintiff to take another photograph, and for this he charged \$10, besides \$12 for mounting. Defendant had paid \$60 into Court, but did not admit any further liability.

Mr. Wilson said the defendant was that the plaintiff agreed to take six different photos and to give 48 copies for \$60. Mr. Candler stipulated that they were all to be mounted, and there was an arrangement that Mr. Alfonso was to sell these views as public views after Mr. Candler's disposal of the 48 copies either by giving away or selling. There was an agreement between the parties that they were to go to Canton by the steamer on Thursday night. Mr. Alfonso went up, but Mr. Candler, owing to some change in the time of departure, missed the steamer. He went up the next day, and found Mr. Alfonso at Canton, who had in the meantime been making a good use of his time taking photographs. To say that he could go up on one night and return the next was ridiculous on the face of it. Tanchow was 45 miles from Canton.

Evidence was then heard on both sides. Mr. Alfonso said:—I am a photographer in Hongkong. On the 15th November 1886 defendant came to my premises. He asked me to go to Tanchow and take photographs of the mine. He said I was to take four photographs. Our price was \$18 a dozen. I agreed to do it for \$15. I asked him what time we would go. He engaged with me to go on Thursday night. He went up and then took a steamer to Canton with the defendant and returned by the night boat on Friday, landing in Hongkong on Saturday morning. It was after I heard that I could return to quick-

ly that I agreed to do the work for \$60. When I arrived at Canton I went to the office of the Company and there found Mr. Alfonso, who told me that a telegram had been sent that the defendant had missed the boat. I went and stayed with my friends in Hongkong and did not get down till Monday morning. I missed the steamer and would not get back to Canton till Monday morning. I arrived at Canton on Monday morning. I delivered four dozen photos to defendant and took one extra view for him. He afterwards asked me to mount the photographs. I charged \$10 for the extra view for which I had to make a fresh plate. As I was staying with friends, I had no expenses in Canton except a dollar or two for boat hire. I estimate my loss of time at \$30 per day, which is about the least I make per day; some days I make more.

His Lordship:—You mean to say that you make more than \$10,000 a year.

Witness:—Yes, I sometimes make more than that; but expenses and wages have been deducted from that, and these expenses and wages were going on while I was absent in Canton. I charge \$12 for mounting six large cards with five views exposed as in a panorama.

Cross-examined:—I sent the prints first. Mr. Candler afterwards came and asked me to mount a set for him, and I did so; and then he asked me to mount one card, five on one board. When I sent the prints he returned me the photographs and said I must mount them. I did not know when the steamer was advertised for. I saw Mr. Candler's luggage on board, but I did not know till the last moment that he was not on board. Mr. Candler told me I would get back to Canton in time for the boat on Friday night. The boat leaves at five o'clock in the afternoon—or at least Saturday morning's boat. I did not say to Mr. Candler, when I saw him on Friday afternoon in the office and he apologized for having missed the boat, 'Never mind I have been taking photographs of Shanghai.' Mr. Ho Amet said something of that sort to him, and I did not contradict him, as I wished to have pleasant dealings with Mr. Candler. I do not know if Mr. Candler offered to pay me any expenses back to Hongkong. There were a number of people in the Canton office when I called, and I do not remember all that passed. After I had taken my photographs at the mine on Saturday Mr. Candler offered to take me to Tanchow to take the photographs. But there was some difficulty about this. I had my luggage at Canton. I did not say that I wished to go back to Canton to take more photographs. How could I say that I had no more plates at Canton? I took double the amount of plates, and a photograph of the steam launch, which was a failure. If Mr. Candler had been on board the steamer on Thursday I would have got back by the Saturday morning boat and would have arrived in Canton on Saturday afternoon. But Mr. Candler said he would take me back to Canton on the Friday night boat. I have charged for 3 days' loss of time. The photographs are on a 12 by 10 plate.

Re-examined:—The first account that I gave of the loss of time was not mentioned. My account made out the account. The question of loss of time was left as a matter between me and Mr. Candler.

By the Court:—I took 14 plates in all. I took four for my friends. They sent me \$30 for these photographs. They were taken as a matter of friendship.

H. A. D. Rosario:—I was in the office when Mr. Candler and Mr. Alfonso were talking over the photographs. Alfonso said he would take four dozen photographs for \$18 a dozen, and he afterwards undertook to do for \$60 for the 48. \$18 is the rate for unmounted photographs.

Mr. Wilson, in stating the case for the defence, said that Mr. Candler went to Mr. Alfonso's studio and arranged with him that he was to proceed to the mine and take six views, which were to be pointed out to him. It was arranged that Mr. Alfonso was to supply 48 copies of those photographs for \$60. Mr. Candler should proceed along with Mr. Candler by the boat leaving on Thursday evening. Mr. Candler, owing to a mistake in the published time of the vessel's leaving, missed the boat. He went up, however, next afternoon and met the plaintiff in the Canton office. Mr. Candler expressed his regret for having missed the boat and offered to pay Mr. Alfonso's expenses and let him go back to Hongkong, if he would lose any engagements by staying. Mr. Alfonso said he never mind, I go to the mine to-morrow. They then started on a boat at 7 o'clock in the evening for the mine. Mr. Alfonso took six views which were pointed out to him by Mr. Candler. He was not requested to take an extra view at all. Mr. Candler offered Alfonso to go and have a look at the mine, and enable him to catch the steamer the same night, but Alfonso said he wished to go back to Canton as he had a party of Germans on a picnic to take. When the bill was first sent in not a word was said about extra charges. I had only to let Mr. Candler know that the defendant, Mr. Candler, was threatening legal proceedings, and mention of only \$22. The only witness who would call was Mr. Candler himself.

Thomas E. Candler said:—I am consulting engineer at the Tanchow mines. I remember going to Alfonso's studio about the 15th November, a stranger for getting some photographs taken. I do not remember seeing any other one in the studio, but there may have been. I told Mr. Alfonso I wanted some photos of the mine. He showed me a mounted photo. I said I wanted 3 different views and wanted 48 copies. I asked the price and after some conversation he said he would take \$60. He mentioned nothing about \$18 being his regular price. I thought \$60 was cheap and expensive. I said to him to go to the mine and take the photos. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning.

Mr. Alfonso said:—I am a photographer in Hongkong. On the 15th November 1886 defendant came to my premises. He asked me to go to Tanchow and take photographs of the mine. He said I was to take four photographs. Our price was \$18 a dozen. I agreed to do it for \$15. I asked him what time we would go. He engaged with me to go on Thursday night. He went up and then took a steamer to Canton with the defendant and returned by the night boat on Friday, landing in Hongkong on Saturday morning. It was after I heard that I could return to quick-

ly that I agreed to do the work for \$60. When I arrived at Canton I went to the office of the Company and there found Mr. Alfonso, who told me that a telegram had been sent that the defendant had missed the boat. I went and stayed with my friends in Hongkong and did not get down till Monday morning. I missed the steamer and would not get back to Canton till Monday morning. I arrived at Canton on Monday morning. I delivered four dozen photos to defendant and took one extra view for him. He afterwards asked me to mount the photographs. I charged \$10 for the extra view for which I had to make a fresh plate. As I was staying with friends, I had no expenses in Canton except a dollar or two for boat hire. I estimate my loss of time at \$30 per day, which is about the least I make per day; some days I make more.

His Lordship:—You mean to say that you make more than \$10,000 a year.

Witness:—Yes, I sometimes make more than that; but expenses and wages have been deducted from that, and these expenses and wages were going on while I was absent in Canton. I charge \$12 for mounting six large cards with five views exposed as in a panorama.

Cross-examined:—I sent the prints first. Mr. Candler afterwards came and asked me to mount a set for him, and I did so; and then he asked me to mount one card, five on one board. When I sent the prints he returned me the photographs and said I must mount them. I did not know when the steamer was advertised for. I saw Mr. Candler's luggage on board, but I did not know till the last moment that he was not on board. Mr. Candler told me I would get back to Canton in time for the boat on Friday night. The boat leaves at five o'clock in the afternoon—or at least Saturday morning's boat. I did not say to Mr. Candler, when I saw him on Friday afternoon in the office and he apologized for having missed the boat, 'Never mind I have been taking photographs of Shanghai.' Mr. Ho Amet said something of that sort to him, and I did not contradict him, as I wished to have pleasant dealings with Mr. Candler. I do not know if Mr. Candler offered to pay me any expenses back to Hongkong. There were a number of people in the Canton office when I called, and I do not remember all that passed. After I had taken my photographs at the mine on Saturday Mr. Candler offered to take me to Tanchow to take the photographs. But there was some difficulty about this. I had my luggage at Canton. I did not say that I wished to go back to Canton to take more photographs. How could I say that I had no more plates at Canton? I took double the amount of plates, and a photograph of the steam launch, which was a failure. If Mr. Candler had been on board the steamer on Thursday I would have got back by the Saturday morning boat and would have arrived in Canton on Saturday afternoon. But Mr. Candler said he would take me back to Canton on the Friday night boat. I have charged for 3 days' loss of time. The photographs are on a 12 by 10 plate.

Re-examined:—The first account that I gave of the loss of time was not mentioned. My account made out the account. The question of loss of time was left as a matter between me and Mr. Candler.

By the Court:—I took 14 plates in all. I took four for my friends. They sent me \$30 for these photographs. They were taken as a matter of friendship.

H. A. D. Rosario:—I was in the office when Mr. Candler and Mr. Alfonso were talking over the photographs. Alfonso said he would take four dozen photographs for \$18 a dozen, and he afterwards undertook to do for \$60 for the 48. \$18 is the rate for unmounted photographs.

Mr. Wilson, in stating the case for the defence, said that Mr. Candler went to Mr. Alfonso's studio and arranged with him that he was to proceed to the mine and take six views, which were to be pointed out to him. It was arranged that Mr. Alfonso was to supply 48 copies of those photographs for \$60. Mr. Candler should proceed along with Mr. Candler by the boat leaving on Thursday evening. Mr. Candler, owing to a mistake in the published time of the vessel's leaving, missed the boat. He went up, however, next afternoon and met the plaintiff in the Canton office. Mr. Candler expressed his regret for having missed the boat and offered to pay Mr. Alfonso's expenses and let him go back to Hongkong, if he would lose any engagements by staying. Mr. Alfonso said he never mind, I go to the mine to-morrow. They then started on a boat at 7 o'clock in the evening for the mine. Mr. Alfonso took six views which were pointed out to him by Mr. Candler. He was not requested to take an extra view at all. Mr. Candler offered Alfonso to go and have a look at the mine, and enable him to catch the steamer the same night, but Alfonso said he wished to go back to Canton as he had a party of Germans on a picnic to take. When the bill was first sent in not a word was said about extra charges. I had only to let Mr. Candler know that the defendant, Mr. Candler, was threatening legal proceedings, and mention of only \$22. The only witness who would call was Mr. Candler himself.

Thomas E. Candler said:—I am consulting engineer at the Tanchow mines. I remember going to Alfonso's studio about the 15th November, a stranger for getting some photographs taken. I do not remember seeing any other one in the studio, but there may have been. I told Mr. Alfonso I wanted some photos of the mine. He showed me a mounted photo. I said I wanted 3 different views and wanted 48 copies. I asked the price and after some conversation he said he would take \$60. He mentioned nothing about \$18 being his regular price. I thought \$60 was cheap and expensive. I said to him to go to the mine and take the photos. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning.

Mr. Alfonso said:—I am a photographer in Hongkong. On the 15th November 1886 defendant came to my premises. He asked me to go to Tanchow and take photographs of the mine. He said I was to take four photographs. Our price was \$18 a dozen. I agreed to do it for \$15. I asked him what time we would go. He engaged with me to go on Thursday night. He went up and then took a steamer to Canton with the defendant and returned by the night boat on Friday, landing in Hongkong on Saturday morning. It was after I heard that I could return to quick-

ly that I agreed to do the work for \$60. When I arrived at Canton I went to the office of the Company and there found Mr. Alfonso, who told me that a telegram had been sent that the defendant had missed the boat. I went and stayed with my friends in Hongkong and did not get down till Monday morning. I missed the steamer and would not get back to Canton till Monday morning. I arrived at Canton on Monday morning. I delivered four dozen photos to defendant and took one extra view for him. He afterwards asked me to mount the photographs. I charged \$10 for the extra view for which I had to make a fresh plate. As I was staying with friends, I had no expenses in Canton except a dollar or two for boat hire. I estimate my loss of time at \$30 per day, which is about the least I make per day; some days I make more.

His Lordship:—You mean to say that you make more than \$10,000 a year.

Witness:—Yes, I sometimes make more than that; but expenses and wages have been deducted from that, and these expenses and wages were going on while I was absent in Canton. I charge \$12 for mounting six large cards with five views exposed as in a panorama.

Cross-examined:—I sent the prints first. Mr. Candler afterwards came and asked me to mount a set for him, and I did so; and then he asked me to mount one card, five on one board. When I sent the prints he returned me the photographs and said I must mount them. I did not know when the steamer was advertised for. I saw Mr. Candler's luggage on board, but I did not know till the last moment that he was not on board. Mr. Candler told me I would get back to Canton in time for the boat on Friday night. The boat leaves at five o'clock in the afternoon—or at least Saturday morning's boat. I did not say to Mr. Candler, when I saw him on Friday afternoon in the office and he apologized for having missed the boat, 'Never mind I have been taking photographs of Shanghai.' Mr. Ho Amet said something of that sort to him, and I did not contradict him, as I wished to have pleasant dealings with Mr. Candler. I do not know if Mr. Candler offered to pay me any expenses back to Hongkong. There were a number of people in the Canton office when I called, and I do not remember all that passed. After I had taken my photographs at the mine on Saturday Mr. Candler offered to take me to Tanchow to take the photographs. But there was some difficulty about this. I had my luggage at Canton. I did not say that I wished to go back to Canton to take more photographs. How could I say that I had no more plates at Canton? I took double the amount of plates, and a photograph of the steam launch, which was a failure. If Mr. Candler had been on board the steamer on Thursday I would have got back by the Saturday morning boat and would have arrived in Canton on Saturday afternoon. But Mr. Candler said he would take me back to Canton on the Friday night boat. I have charged for 3 days' loss of time. The photographs are on a 12 by 10 plate.

Re-examined:—The first account that I gave of the loss of time was not mentioned. My account made out the account. The question of loss of time was left as a matter between me and Mr. Candler.

By the Court:—I took 14 plates in all. I took four for my friends. They sent me \$30 for these photographs. They were taken as a matter of friendship.

H. A. D. Rosario:—I was in the office when Mr. Candler and Mr. Alfonso were talking over the photographs. Alfonso said he would take four dozen photographs for \$18 a dozen, and he afterwards undertook to do for \$60 for the 48. \$18 is the rate for unmounted photographs.

Mr. Wilson, in stating the case for the defence, said that Mr. Candler went to Mr. Alfonso's studio and arranged with him that he was to proceed to the mine and take six views, which were to be pointed out to him. It was arranged that Mr. Alfonso was to supply 48 copies of those photographs for \$60. Mr. Candler should proceed along with Mr. Candler by the boat leaving on Thursday evening. Mr. Candler, owing to a mistake in the published time of the vessel's leaving, missed the boat. He went up, however, next afternoon and met the plaintiff in the Canton office. Mr. Candler expressed his regret for having missed the boat and offered to pay Mr. Alfonso's expenses and let him go back to Hongkong, if he would lose any engagements by staying. Mr. Alfonso said he never mind, I go to the mine to-morrow. They then started on a boat at 7 o'clock in the evening for the mine. Mr. Alfonso took six views which were pointed out to him by Mr. Candler. He was not requested to take an extra view at all. Mr. Candler offered Alfonso to go and have a look at the mine, and enable him to catch the steamer the same night, but Alfonso said he wished to go back to Canton as he had a party of Germans on a picnic to take. When the bill was first sent in not a word was said about extra charges. I had only to let Mr. Candler know that the defendant, Mr. Candler, was threatening legal proceedings, and mention of only \$22. The only witness who would call was Mr. Candler himself.

Thomas E. Candler said:—I am consulting engineer at the Tanchow mines. I remember going to Alfonso's studio about the 15th November, a stranger for getting some photographs taken. I do not remember seeing any other one in the studio, but there may have been. I told Mr. Alfonso I wanted some photos of the mine. He showed me a mounted photo. I said I wanted 3 different views and wanted 48 copies. I asked the price and after some conversation he said he would take \$60. He mentioned nothing about \$18 being his regular price. I thought \$60 was cheap and expensive. I said to him to go to the mine and take the photos. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning.

Mr. Alfonso said:—I am a photographer in Hongkong. On the 15th November 1886 defendant came to my premises. He asked me to go to Tanchow and take photographs of the mine. He said I was to take four photographs. Our price was \$18 a dozen. I agreed to do it for \$15. I asked him what time we would go. He engaged with me to go on Thursday night. He went up and then took a steamer to Canton with the defendant and returned by the night boat on Friday, landing in Hongkong on Saturday morning. It was after I heard that I could return to quick-

ly that I agreed to do the work for \$60. When I arrived at Canton I went to the office of the Company and there found Mr. Alfonso, who told me that a telegram had been sent that the defendant had missed the boat. I went and stayed with my friends in Hongkong and did not get down till Monday morning. I missed the steamer and would not get back to Canton till Monday morning. I arrived at Canton on Monday morning. I delivered four dozen photos to defendant and took one extra view for him. He afterwards asked me to mount the photographs. I charged \$10 for the extra view for which I had to make a fresh plate. As I was staying with friends, I had no expenses in Canton except a dollar or two for boat hire. I estimate my loss of time at \$30 per day, which is about the least I make per day; some days I make more.

His Lordship:—You mean to say that you make more than \$10,000 a year.

Witness:—Yes, I sometimes make more than that; but expenses and wages have been deducted from that, and these expenses and wages were going on while I was absent in Canton. I charge \$12 for mounting six large cards with five views exposed as in a panorama.

Cross-examined:—I sent the prints first. Mr. Candler afterwards came and asked me to mount a set for him, and I did so; and then he asked me to mount one card, five on one board. When I sent the prints he returned me the photographs and said I must mount them. I did not know when the steamer was advertised for. I saw Mr. Candler's luggage on board, but I did not know till the last moment that he was not on board. Mr. Candler told me I would get back to Canton in time for the boat on Friday night. The boat leaves at five o'clock in the afternoon—or at least Saturday morning's boat. I did not say to Mr. Candler, when I saw him on Friday afternoon in the office and he apologized for having missed the boat, 'Never mind I have been taking photographs of Shanghai.' Mr. Ho Amet said something of that sort to him, and I did not contradict him, as I wished to have pleasant dealings with Mr. Candler. I do not know if Mr. Candler offered to pay me any expenses back to Hongkong. There were a number of people in the Canton office when I called, and I do not remember all that passed. After I had taken my photographs at the mine on Saturday Mr. Candler offered to take me to Tanchow to take the photographs. But there was some difficulty about this. I had my luggage at Canton. I did not say that I wished to go back to Canton to take more photographs. How could I say that I had no more plates at Canton? I took double the amount of plates, and a photograph of the steam launch, which was a failure. If Mr. Candler had been on board the steamer on Thursday I would have got back by the Saturday morning boat and would have arrived in Canton on Saturday afternoon. But Mr. Candler said he would take me back to Canton on the Friday night boat. I have charged for 3 days' loss of time. The photographs are on a 12 by 10 plate.

Re-examined:—The first account that I gave of the loss of time was not mentioned. My account made out the account. The question of loss of time was left as a matter between me and Mr. Candler.

By the Court:—I took 14 plates in all. I took four for my friends. They sent me \$30 for these photographs. They were taken as a matter of friendship.

H. A. D. Rosario:—I was in the office when Mr. Candler and Mr. Alfonso were talking over the photographs. Alfonso said he would take four dozen photographs for \$18 a dozen, and he afterwards undertook to do for \$60 for the 48. \$18 is the rate for unmounted photographs.

Mr. Wilson, in stating the case for the defence, said that Mr. Candler went to Mr. Alfonso's studio and arranged with him that he was to proceed to the mine and take six views, which were to be pointed out to him. It was arranged that Mr. Alfonso was to supply 48 copies of those photographs for \$60. Mr. Candler should proceed along with Mr. Candler by the boat leaving on Thursday evening. Mr. Candler, owing to a mistake in the published time of the vessel's leaving, missed the boat. He went up, however, next afternoon and met the plaintiff in the Canton office. Mr. Candler expressed his regret for having missed the boat and offered to pay Mr. Alfonso's expenses and let him go back to Hongkong, if he would lose any engagements by staying. Mr. Alfonso said he never mind, I go to the mine to-morrow. They then started on a boat at 7 o'clock in the evening for the mine. Mr. Alfonso took six views which were pointed out to him by Mr. Candler. He was not requested to take an extra view at all. Mr. Candler offered Alfonso to go and have a look at the mine, and enable him to catch the steamer the same night, but Alfonso said he wished to go back to Canton as he had a party of Germans on a picnic to take. When the bill was first sent in not a word was said about extra charges. I had only to let Mr. Candler know that the defendant, Mr. Candler, was threatening legal proceedings, and mention of only \$22. The only witness who would call was Mr. Candler himself.

Thomas E. Candler said:—I am consulting engineer at the Tanchow mines. I remember going to Alfonso's studio about the 15th November, a stranger for getting some photographs taken. I do not remember seeing any other one in the studio, but there may have been. I told Mr. Alfonso I wanted some photos of the mine. He showed me a mounted photo. I said I wanted 3 different views and wanted 48 copies. I asked the price and after some conversation he said he would take \$60. He mentioned nothing about \$18 being his regular price. I thought \$60 was cheap and expensive. I said to him to go to the mine and take the photos. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning.

Mr. Alfonso said:—I am a photographer in Hongkong. On the 15th November 1886 defendant came to my premises. He asked me to go to Tanchow and take photographs of the mine. He said I was to take four photographs. Our price was \$18 a dozen. I agreed to do it for \$15. I asked him what time we would go. He engaged with me to go on Thursday night. He went up and then took a steamer to Canton with the defendant and returned by the night boat on Friday, landing in Hongkong on Saturday morning. It was after I heard that I could return to quick-

ly that I agreed to do the work for \$60. When I arrived at Canton I went to the office of the Company and there found Mr. Alfonso, who told me that a telegram had been sent that the defendant had missed the boat. I went and stayed with my friends in Hongkong and did not get down till Monday morning. I missed the steamer and would not get back to Canton till Monday morning. I arrived at Canton on Monday morning. I delivered four dozen photos to defendant and took one extra view for him. He afterwards asked me to mount the photographs. I charged \$10 for the extra view for which I had to make a fresh plate. As I was staying with friends, I had no expenses in Canton except a dollar or two for boat hire. I estimate my loss of time at \$30 per day, which is about the least I make per day; some days I make more.

His Lordship:—You mean to say that you make more than \$10,000 a year.

Witness:—Yes, I sometimes make more than that; but expenses and wages have been deducted from that, and these expenses and wages were going on while I was absent in Canton. I charge \$12 for mounting six large cards with five views exposed as in a panorama.

Cross-examined:—I sent the prints first. Mr. Candler afterwards came and asked me to mount a set for him, and I did so; and then he asked me to mount one card, five on one board. When I sent the prints he returned me the photographs and said I must mount them. I did not know when the steamer was advertised for. I saw Mr. Candler's luggage on board, but I did not know till the last moment that he was not on board. Mr. Candler told me I would get back to Canton in time for the boat on Friday night. The boat leaves at five o'clock in the afternoon—or at least Saturday morning's boat. I did not say to Mr. Candler, when I saw him on Friday afternoon in the office and he apologized for having missed the boat, 'Never mind I have been taking photographs of Shanghai.' Mr. Ho Amet said something of that sort to him, and I did not contradict him, as I wished to have pleasant dealings with Mr. Candler. I do not know if Mr. Candler offered to pay me any expenses back to Hongkong. There were a number of people in the Canton office when I called, and I do not remember all that passed. After I had taken my photographs at the mine on Saturday Mr. Candler offered to take me to Tanchow to take the photographs. But there was some difficulty about this. I had my luggage at Canton. I did not say that I wished to go back to Canton to take more photographs. How could I say that I had no more plates at Canton? I took double the amount of plates, and a photograph of the steam launch, which was a failure. If Mr. Candler had been on board the steamer on Thursday I would have got back by the Saturday morning boat and would have arrived in Canton on Saturday afternoon. But Mr. Candler said he would take me back to Canton on the Friday night boat. I have charged for 3 days' loss of time. The photographs are on a 12 by 10 plate.

Re-examined:—The first account that I gave of the loss of time was not mentioned. My account made out the account. The question of loss of time was left as a matter between me and Mr. Candler.

By the Court:—I took 14 plates in all. I took four for my friends. They sent me \$30 for these photographs. They were taken as a matter of friendship.

H. A. D. Rosario:—I was in the office when Mr. Candler and Mr. Alfonso were talking over the photographs. Alfonso said he would take four dozen photographs for \$18 a dozen, and he afterwards undertook to do for \$60 for the 48. \$18 is the rate for unmounted photographs.

Mr. Wilson, in stating the case for the defence, said that Mr. Candler went to Mr. Alfonso's studio and arranged with him that he was to proceed to the mine and take six views, which were to be pointed out to him. It was arranged that Mr. Alfonso was to supply 48 copies of those photographs for \$60. Mr. Candler should proceed along with Mr. Candler by the boat leaving on Thursday evening. Mr. Candler, owing to a mistake in the published time of the vessel's leaving, missed the boat. He went up, however, next afternoon and met the plaintiff in the Canton office. Mr. Candler expressed his regret for having missed the boat and offered to pay Mr. Alfonso's expenses and let him go back to Hongkong, if he would lose any engagements by staying. Mr. Alfonso said he never mind, I go to the mine to-morrow. They then started on a boat at 7 o'clock in the evening for the mine. Mr. Alfonso took six views which were pointed out to him by Mr. Candler. He was not requested to take an extra view at all. Mr. Candler offered Alfonso to go and have a look at the mine, and enable him to catch the steamer the same night, but Alfonso said he wished to go back to Canton as he had a party of Germans on a picnic to take. When the bill was first sent in not a word was said about extra charges. I had only to let Mr. Candler know that the defendant, Mr. Candler, was threatening legal proceedings, and mention of only \$22. The only witness who would call was Mr. Candler himself.

Thomas E. Candler said:—I am consulting engineer at the Tanchow mines. I remember going to Alfonso's studio about the 15th November, a stranger for getting some photographs taken. I do not remember seeing any other one in the studio, but there may have been. I told Mr. Alfonso I wanted some photos of the mine. He showed me a mounted photo. I said I wanted 3 different views and wanted 48 copies. I asked the price and after some conversation he said he would take \$60. He mentioned nothing about \$18 being his regular price. I thought \$60 was cheap and expensive. I said to him to go to the mine and take the photos. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning. He said he would be back in the morning.

Mr. Alfonso said:—I am a photographer in Hongkong. On the 15th November 1886 defendant came to my premises. He asked me to go to Tanchow and take photographs of the mine. He said I was to take four photographs. Our price was \$18 a dozen. I agreed to do it for \$15. I asked him what time we would go. He engaged with me to go on Thursday night. He went up and then took a steamer to Canton with the defendant and returned by the night boat on Friday, landing in Hongkong on Saturday morning. It was after I heard that I could return to quick-

ly that I agreed to do the work for \$60. When I arrived at Canton I went to the office of the Company and there found Mr. Alfonso, who told me that a telegram had been sent that the defendant had missed the boat. I went and stayed with my friends in Hongkong and did not get down till Monday morning. I missed the steamer and would not get back to Canton till Monday morning. I arrived at Canton on Monday morning. I delivered four dozen photos to defendant and took one extra view for him. He afterwards asked me to mount the photographs. I charged \$10 for the extra view for which I had to make a fresh plate. As I was staying with friends, I had no expenses in Canton except a dollar or two for boat hire. I estimate my loss of time at \$30 per day, which is about the least I make per day; some days I make more.

His Lordship:—You mean to say that you make more than \$10,000 a year.

Witness:—Yes, I sometimes make more than that; but expenses and wages have been deducted from that, and these expenses and wages were going on while I was absent in Canton. I charge \$12 for mounting six large cards with five views exposed as in a panorama.

Cross-examined:—I sent the prints first. Mr. Candler afterwards came and asked me to mount a set for him, and I did so; and then he asked me to mount one card, five on one board. When I sent the prints he returned me the photographs and said I must mount them. I did not know when the steamer was advertised for. I saw Mr. Candler's luggage on board, but I did not know till the last moment that he was not on board. Mr. Candler told me I would get back to Canton in time for the boat on Friday night. The boat leaves at five o'clock in the afternoon—or at least Saturday morning's boat. I did not say to Mr. Candler, when I saw him on Friday afternoon in the office and he apologized for having missed the boat, 'Never mind I have been taking photographs of Shanghai.' Mr. Ho Amet said something of that sort to him, and I did not contradict him, as I wished to have pleasant dealings with Mr. Candler. I do not know if Mr. Candler offered to pay me any expenses back to Hongkong. There were a number of people in the Canton office when I called, and I do not remember all that passed. After I had taken my photographs at the mine on Saturday Mr. Candler offered to take me to Tanchow to take the photographs. But there was some difficulty about this. I had my luggage at Canton. I did not say that I wished to go back to Canton to take more photographs. How could I say that I had no more plates at Canton? I took double the amount of plates, and a photograph of the steam launch, which was a failure. If Mr. Candler had been on board the steamer on Thursday I would have got back by the Saturday morning boat and would have arrived in Canton on Saturday afternoon. But Mr. Candler said he would take me back to Canton on the Friday night boat. I have charged for 3 days' loss of time. The photographs are on a 12 by 10 plate.

Re-examined:—The first account that I gave of the loss of time was not mentioned. My account made out the account. The question of loss of time was left as a matter between me and Mr. Candler.

By the Court:—I took 14 plates in all. I took four for my friends. They sent me \$30 for these photographs. They were taken as a matter of friendship.

</



## Mails.

## NOTICE.

COMPAGNIE DES MESSAGERIES MARITIMES.  
PAQUEBOTS POSTE FRANÇAIS.  
STEAM FOR  
SAIGON, SINGAPORE, BATAVIA,  
COLOMBO, ADEN, SUER,  
PORT SAID,  
MEDITERRANEAN AND BLACK  
SEA PORTS,  
MARSEILLES, AND PORTS  
OF BRAZIL, AND LA PLATA,  
AND  
BORDEAUX, LE HAVRE, DUNKIRK,  
LONDON AND ANTWERP.

ON TUESDAY, the 8th February, 1887, at Noon, the Company's S.S. *ANDRÉ*, Commandant, DEBACQRE, will sail for SAIGON, SINGAPORE, BATAVIA, COLOMBO, ADEN, SUER, PORT SAID, and the Mediterranean and Black Sea Ports, and will leave this Port for the above places.

Cargo and Specie will be registered for London as well as for Marseilles, and accepted in transit through Marseilles for the principal places of Europe. Shipping Orders will be granted until Noon.

Cargo will be received on board until 4 p.m. Specie and Parcels until 3 p.m. on the 7th February, 1887. (Parcels are not to be sent on board; they must be left at the Agency's Office.)

Comments and value of Packages are required. For further particulars, apply at the Company's Office.

G. DE CHAMPEAUX,  
Agent.

Hongkong, January 28, 1887. 153

## Occidental &amp; Oriental Steam-Ship Company.

TAKING CARGO AND PASSENGERS TO JAPAN, THE UNITED STATES, MEXICO, CENTRAL AND SOUTH AMERICA, AND EUROPE, VIA THE OVERLAND RAILWAYS, AND ATLANTIC AND OTHER CONNECTING STEAMERS.

THE Steamship *BELGIC* will be despatched for San Francisco, via Yokohama, on SATURDAY, the 16th February, at 3 p.m.

Connection being made at Yokohama, with Steamers from Shanghai and Japan Ports.

All Parcel Packages should be marked to address in full; and same will be received at the Company's Office, until 5 p.m. the day previous to sailing.

RETURN PASSENGERS.—Passengers, who have paid full fare, re-embarking at San Francisco for China or Japan (or vice versa) within six months, will be allowed a discount of 20% from Return Fare; if re-embarking within one year, an allowance of 10% will be made from Return Fare. Pre-Paid Return Passage Orders, available for one year, will be issued at a discount of 25% from Return Fare. These allowances do not apply to through fares from China and Japan to Europe.

Consular Invoices to accompany Cargo destined to ports beyond San Francisco, should be sent to the Company's Office, addressed to the Collector of Customs, San Francisco.

For further information as to Freight or Passage, apply to the Agent, Hongkong, No. 40, Queen's Road Central.

C. D. HARMAN,  
Agent.

Hongkong, February 1, 1887. 184

## NORDDEUTSCHER LLOYD.

NOTICE.

STEAM FOR  
SINGAPORE, COLOMBO, ADEN,  
SUER, PORT SAID, TRIESTE,  
BRINDISI,  
ANTWERP, BREMEN & HAMBURG,  
PORTS IN THE LEVANT, BLACK  
SEA & BALTIC PORTS;  
AND  
LONDON, NEW YORK, BOSTON,  
BALTIMORE, NEW ORLEANS,  
GALVESTON & SOUTH AMERICAN  
PORTS.

THE COMPANY'S STEAMERS WILL CALL AT SOUTHAMPTON TO LAND PASSENGERS AND CARGO.

CARGO.—Cargo can be taken on through Bills of Lading for the principal places in RUSSIA.

ON MONDAY, the 21st day of February, 1887, at Noon, the Company's Steamship *NURNBERG*, Capt. DEBACQRE, will sail for SAIGON, SINGAPORE, BATAVIA, COLOMBO, ADEN, SUER, PORT SAID, and the Mediterranean and Black Sea Ports, and will leave this Port for the above places.

Cargo and Specie will be registered for London as well as for Marseilles, and accepted in transit through Marseilles for the principal places of Europe. Shipping Orders will be granted until Noon.

Cargo will be received on board until 4 p.m. Specie and Parcels until 3 p.m. on the 20th February. (Parcels are not to be sent on board; they must be left at the Agency's Office.)

Comments and value of Packages are required. For further particulars, apply to the Agent, Hongkong, No. 40, Queen's Road Central.

MELCHERS & Co.,  
Agents.

Hongkong, January 26, 1887. 130

## The Overland China Mail.

A WEEKLY JOURNAL FOR THE HOME MARK.

IS PUBLISHED to suit the Departure of each ENGLISH and FRANCE MAIL Steamer for Europe. Formerly the Overland issue was published fortnightly, but as it was deemed of special importance that a weekly budget of news should be prepared, it was decided to issue it weekly.

Subscribers at Home, and those at the Coast Ports and in the Interior, who find the Overland edition a convenient form of newspaper for their personal, will welcome the change. The *Overland China Mail*, now a weekly compendium of news from the Far East, contains special Commercial Intelligence, special tables of Shipping, and other information. The various Reports of Courts and Meetings, and all other news, are given in full as they appear in the Daily issue.

The attention of Advertisers is directed to a weekly newspaper, which is circulated among old China Hands and others, both at home and in the Far East, who do not take the daily journals.

The *Overland China Mail* will be regularly posted from the China Mail Office to subscribers, on their addresses being forwarded to us.

Subscription:

Per Annum, £12.00; postage, £1.00  
Quarterly, £3.00; postage, 0.25  
Single Copy, 0.30  
China Mail Office, Hongkong.

## Mails.

## U. S. MAIL LINE.

## PACIFIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY.

## THROUGH TO NEW YORK, VIA OVERLAND RAILWAYS, AND TOUCHING AT YOKOHAMA, AND SAN FRANCISCO.

THE U. S. Mail Steamship *CITY OF NEW YORK* will be despatched for San Francisco, via Yokohama and Honolulu, on SATURDAY, the 12th February, at 3 p.m., taking Passengers and Freight for Japan, the United States, and Europe.

Through Bills of Lading issued for transportation to Yokohama and other Japan Ports to San Francisco, to Atlantic and Inland Cities of the United States, via Overland Railway, to Havana, Trinidad, and Demerara, and to ports in Mexico, Central and South America, by the Company's and connecting Steamers.

RETURN PASSENGERS.—Passengers, who have paid full fare, re-embarking at San Francisco for China or Japan (or vice versa) within six months, will be allowed a discount of 20% from Return Fare; if re-embarking within one year, an allowance of 10% will be made from Return Fare. Pre-Paid Return Passage Orders, available for one year, will be issued at a discount of 25% from Return Fare. These allowances do not apply to through fares from China and Japan to Europe.

Consular Invoices to accompany Cargo destined to ports beyond San Francisco, should be sent to the Company's Office, addressed to the Collector of Customs at San Francisco.

For further information as to Freight or Passage, apply to the Agent, Hongkong, No. 40, Queen's Road Central.

C. D. HARMAN,  
Agent.

Hongkong, January 22, 1887. 119

## Insurance.

## LANCASHIRE INSURANCE COMPANY.

(FIRE AND LIFE.)

CAPITAL.—TWO MILLIONS STERLING.

THE LANCASHIRE Insurance Company is prepared to guarantee the full value of FIRE on buildings or on Goods stored therein, on bonds on board Vessels, and on Hulls of Vessels in Harbour, at the usual Terms and Conditions.

Proposals for Life Assurance will be received, and transmitted to the Directors for their decision.

If required, protection will be granted on first class Lives up to £1000 on a Single Life.

For Rates of Premiums, forms of proposals or any other information, apply to

ARNOLD, KARBURG & Co.,  
Agents, Hongkong & Canton.

Hongkong, January 4, 1887. 100

## THE LONDON ASSURANCE.

INCORPORATED BY ROYAL CHARTER OF His Majesty King George The First, A. D. 1720.

THE Undersigned having been appointed Agents for the above Corporation are prepared to grant Insurances as follows:

Policy at current rates, payable either here, in London, or at the principal Ports of India, China and Australia.

Fire Department.

Policies issued for long or short periods at current rates.

Life Department.

Policies issued for sums not exceeding £5,000 at reduced rates.

HOLLIDAY, WISE & Co.  
Agents, Hongkong, July 25, 1875. 406

## Intimations.

## THE CHINA REVIEW.

Vol. XV.—No. 3.

## NOTICE.

PART 3 of Vol. XV. of the *China Review*, or NOTES and QUERIES on the Far East is NOW READY. The present Number contains the continuation of Mr. Playfair's interesting narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, an unrecorded episode of 1882; an article by Dr. E. J. Eitel, on the Law of Testimony Succession as popularly understood and applied in China, which possesses considerable legal value; a brief but entertaining article on Early Chinese History, by Mr. Herbert J. Allen; a List of Characters used in Spelling in the Concise Dictionary of Dr. Chalmers, prepared by the Rev. E. W. Hartmann, with their pronunciation in Pekingese added by Dr. Chalmers himself; and that of Cantonese by Mr. Hartmann, and an introductory article by Dr. Chalmers; a New Key to Dr. Chalmers' Concise Kang-Hai, by Mr. Playfair; The Hall of Light, by Dr. Eitel; a further instalment of Esquimaux Parallels of the Canton Dialect; and a valuable Notes and Queries, a List of New Books, and a List of New Works on China, and Chinese Subjects.

Terms of Subscription.—8s per annum. By Post.—8s 50 do.

Hongkong, February 1, 1887.

## WASHING BOOKS.

(In English and Chinese.)

WASHERMAN'S BOOKS, for the use of Ladies and Gentlemen, can now be had at this Office.—Price, 2s each. Extra Mail Order.

## NOW READY.

## THE REVENUE OF CHINA.

A SERIES OF ARTICLES, Reprinted from "The China Mail," WITH AN APPENDIX.

THIS PAMPHLET is Now Ready, and may be had at the

Office of this Paper, Messrs. LANE, CRAWFORD & Co's, Messrs. KEMP & WATKIN, And Mr. W. BREWER.

Price, 50 Cents.

## THE CHINA REVIEW.

PUBLISHED MONTHLY, TENTH YEAR.

THIS Review, which was intended to meet the wants of many students of Chinese caused by the discontinuance of "Notes and Queries on China and Japan," has reached its Fourteenth Volume. The Review discusses those topics which are uppermost in the minds of students of the "Far East" and about which every intelligent person connected with China or Japan is desirous of acquiring trustworthy information. It includes many interesting Notes and original papers on the Arts, Sciences, Ethnology, Folklore, Geography, History, Literature, Mythology, Natural History, Antiquities, and Social Manners and Customs, etc., of China, Japan, Mongolia, Tibet, and the Far East generally. Recently a new departure has been taken, and the Review now discusses papers on Trade, Commerce, and Descriptive notes of Travel by well-known writers. It was thought that by extending the scope of the Review in this direction, the Magazine would be made more generally useful.

The Review department receives special attention, and endeavours are made to present a careful and concise record of literature on China etc., and to give critiques embodying sketches of the most recent works on such topics. Authors and Publishers are requested to forward works to "Editor, China Review, care of China Mail Office."

The Notes and Queries are still continued and form an important means of obtaining from and diffusing among students knowledge on obscure topics.

The Correspondence column also affords further and greater facilities for the interchange of views and discussion of various topics.

Original contributions in Chinese, Latin, or any of the Modern Languages are received. The papers are contributed by the members of the various Consular, the Imperial Customs, and Hongkong Services, and also by the Missionary bodies amongst whom a high degree of Chinese scholarship is so unanimously cultivated. Amongst the regular contributors are Drs. Chalmers, Bickel, Bretschneider, and Hirth, Professor Legge, and Messrs. Balfour, Watson, Stein, Phillips, MacIntyre, Groot, Jamieson, Faber, Knapik, Parker, Playfair, Giles, and others. The well-known names indicative of sound scholarship and thorough mastery of their subject.

The Subscription is fixed at \$6.50 per annum, postage included—payable in advance. Orders for binding volumes will be promptly attended to. Address: "Manager, China Mail Office."

CHINESE OF THE PRESS. "All our best writers should subscribe to this scholarly and enterprising Review."—Northern Christian Advocate (U.S.). The *China Review* has an excellent table of contents.—*Catholic Empire*.

The *China Review* is a valuable source of information to the student of the Far East, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*.

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

"This number contains several articles of interest and value. Mr. Playfair's narrative of the Bombardment of Fakhri, and the present issue will have favourable and not unimportant comparison with preceding numbers.—*Catholic Empire*."

## Merchant Vessels in Hongkong Harbour.

Exclusive of late Arrivals and Departures reported to-day.

To facilitate finding the position of any vessel in the Harbour, the Anchorage is divided into eleven sections, commencing at

Green Island. Vessels near the Hongkong shore are marked h., near the Kowloon shore k., and those in the body of the

Shipping or midway between each shore are marked e., in conjunction with the figures denoting the sections.

Section.

1. From Green Island to the Gas Works.

2. From Gas Works to Jardine's Wharf.

3. From Jardine's Wharf to the Harbour Master's Office.

4. From Harbour Master's Office to the P. and O. Co.'s Office.

5. From P. and O. Co.'s Office to Peddie's Wharf.

6. From Peddie's Wharf to the Naval Yard.

7. From Naval Yard to Blue Buildings.

8. From Blue Buildings to East Point.

9. From East Point to North Point.

10. From North Point to Kowloon Wharves.

11. Jardine's Wharf.

Vessel's Name.

Captain.

Flag and Rig.

Tons.

Date of Arrival.

Consignees or Agents.

Destination.

Remarks.

Alvina Seyd.

Sanme.

Ger. str.

1058 Jan. 10

Wielor & Co.

Europe, &c.

K'loon Dock

Andr.

Canapa.

Italian str.

1848 Jan. 29

Carlowitz & Co.

Bombay, &c.

Archimede.

Walker.

Brit. str.

2035 Feb. 7

O. & S. S. Co.

Y'ham & San Francisco

12th inst.

City of New York.

Scario.

Amer. str.

3129 Jan. 27

M. S. S. Co.

Laid up

Clara.

Christensen.

Ger. str.

074 Feb. 7

Arnold, Kargberg & Co.

K'loon Dock

Crusader.

West.

Brit. str.

652 May 29

Ban Hong

Amoy & Manila

Saigon

Dalla.

McGee.

Brit. str.

514 Jan. 23

Russell & Co.

To-morrow

Jardine's Wharf

Manante.

McGee.

Ger. str.

759 Feb. 7

Edmund Schellhass & Co.

K'loon Dock

Pao-tung.

Hogg.

Brit. str.

990 Jan. 21

Jardine, Matheson & Co.

To-morrow

Gililand.

Robinson.

Brit. str.

1038 Feb. 7

Jardine, Matheson & Co.

To-morrow

Glengyle.

Donaldson.

Brit. str.

1122 Feb. 7

Douglas Steamship Co.

Touron

Shanghai

To-morrow

Haiphong